Filed in the District Court Of Travis County, Texas

At 07/15/22 11:00am SC

Velva L. Price, District Clerk

Brenda Kennedy Svlvia Castillo Fwd: Motion for Speedy Trial Friday, July 15, 2022 10:37:52 AM

image004.pnq image005.pnq image006.pnq image007.png image008.png ge011.p

Please file the entirety of this document and these communications, from the attorneys' email to the Court including my response to them, with all of the other papers

See below:

Judge Kennedy

Begin forwarded message

From: Date: July 13, 2022 at 10:44:00 AM CDT To:

Subject: RE: Motion for Speedy Trial.

Counsel.

Thanks for your communication. Let me state to all involved that neither party has discussed any settings or legal strategies or plans for this case. The defendant has been in our jail for a little short of two weeks now, and the setting that she now has is one that is automatic and a designation setting. That setting is normally for the purpose of counsel informing the Court of what type of setting they are seeking next. This Court has not, to date, been requested to set the case for any other purpose. did see that motions were filed, and my coordinator informed me that there was a request for the Defendant to be transported. In the 403rd, and as a matter of course, we have both in-person and virtual settings, as requested by counsel. Now that the speedy trial motion has been filed, the Court will provide an immediate trial setting along with an arraignment of the Defendant. On another note, the in-person settings are not for the purpose of mere conversation, as counsel needs to do that on their own as a part of their responsibilities. Currently, and going forward in all of our cases, when requesting an in-person setting, the coordinator will ask counsel if it is for the purpose of a hearing; motions needing to be presented and urged; or, legal processes that require or warrant that the Defendant be present before the Court. This case is no different that any other in that regard, and perhaps even a bit more concerning from a practical standpoint, as there are security and other considerations involving prisoner transport that need to be considered. So, the question posed to you by me via e-mail was to determine what type of proceeding before the Court would be held so that I could allow appropriate time for scheduling purposes; and, be able to plan accordingly, from a legal and practical standpoint, providing notice to all parties of what the timing and course of the proceedings would be.

The next communication that this Court was made aware of, after that inquiry, was the email below that was sent to the Court on last night referencing speedy trial concerns. By filing and presenting this motion, the defense is representing that they are ready and requesting an immediate trial setting as soon as the Court's schedule would allow. Of note, is that no trial setting has been requested to date. Now, with the motion being filed, the Court will set the trial accordingly. If, at the setting on the 20th, and with this motion having been filed, the Court is ready to provide that setting and pleased that you are ready to go to trial. I will arraign the defendant on the 20th and set the case for trial expeditiously, with all discussion in that regard being had on the record with the court reporter present. With the defendant having been here for such a short period of time, it is refreshing that we will be able to move efficiently and have a trial sooner than later since the defense is prepared to announce ready for trial and present and urge their filed speedy trial motion. We have no backlog of jury trials in the 403rd and can offer a trial setting as soon as this fall (September/October, 2022). I am pleased to say that I have no problem at all bringing the defendant; arraigning her; and, setting her for trial as soon as we are able to do

By the way, the Boyer case that counsel referenced in the below email is a decision from 2013. The case was actually dismissed by SCOTUS as improvidently granted. In a concurring opinion to the dismissal, Alito wrote about the background of the case, explaining that many of the delays in the case were caused by the defendant. However, having said that, I do now believe I understand what the defense is requesting,—that they want to have a record of sincere assertions of Armstrong's right to a speedy trial, even though I am not aware of any caselaw that requires this assertion be made only from live testimony from the defendant. In that vein, I do believe it better for the defendant to be present when that motion is presented to the Court; announcement of ready for trial made; and, jury setting in requested, especially since the announcement of ready for trial is being made without any pre-trial or other settings having been requested. We will ensure that we have a complete record in this

Any agreement between the parties, contrary to the first available setting provided by the Court, as to a date, (whether granted or not) will be memorialized on the record, particularly in light of the fact that the defense is concerned about the D's speedy-trial rights and the preservation of the record for appeal purposes. The motion itself demands a trial and asks for a preferential setting. It also opposes any hypothetical continuances requested by the State. Taken at face value and if the motion is not merely perfunctory, we should all be excited with a fall trial date. If the parties are not actually ready for the case to be set for trial, then that fact will speak for itself on the record as well. If you have any further concerns or questions, please do not hesitate to contact the Court.

Judge Brenda P. Kennedy 403rd District Court Travis County, Texas

Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2022 8:21 PM

From:

Subject: [CAUTION EXTERNAL] Re: Motion for Speedy Trial.

CAUTION: This email is from OUTSIDE Travis County. Links or attachments may be dangerous. Click the Phish Alert button above if you think this email is malicious

Your Honor,

Counsel for Kate Armstrong has filed The Accused's Assertion of Speedy Trial Right for which we need to build a record in court and have our client present to build that record.

I recently had a five-year old case in Harris County in which I risked further trial delay because I did not assert my client's speedy trial right from the beginning. Having better educated myself since then, and reviewed U.S. Supreme Court Justice Samuel Alito's opinion in Boyer v. Louisiana, I am concerned that we might be found ineffective if a court should later find that our "assertions of [our client's] speedy trial right were 'more perfunctory than aggressive.'" 569 U.S. 238, 240 (2013). In light of the Boyer standard for assertion of a speedy trial right, defense counsel needs to go on record in court with our client and assert Ms. Armstrong's speedy trial right and her agreement to proceed to trial. Unfortunately, Supreme Court precedent actually requires us to be aggressive in our assertion and a written pleading may be seen by an appellate court as a perfunctory assertion.

Whether we will have other motions to discuss with the Court on the 20th, I cannot say for certain. Although we are aware the Court values efficiency, so we will make every effort to consolidate motion hearings so that we do not waste the Court's time with multiple hearings. We may after, further discussions with the prosecution, find that one or both parties have other matters to put on the record on July 20th. Both the defense and the prosecution need fair opportunity to discuss with this Court how the case will be proceeding and what timeline the parties can expect so that all may adequately prepare to present their cases.

Thank you for your consideration. Please let us know if you have further questions. My law partners and I would like to make sure you have all the information you need to proceed. Thank you.



Date: Tuesday, July 12, 2022 at 09:18

To:
Cc:
Subject: Re: Motion for Speedy Trial.

Counsel,
Are there motions filed that we will be addressing, Or, is a hearing being requested regarding any motion that has been filed?

Judge Kennedy

On Jul 12, 2022, at 8:15 AM,
wrote:

Good morning all,
I think you need to be more specific. I've added the Judge the email so you can brief her on what you all need to do on that setting.

From:
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2022 7:16 PM
To:
Subject: [CAUTION EXTERNAL] Re: Motion for Speedy Trial.

CAUTION: This email is from OUTSIDE Travis County. Links or attachments may be dangerous. Click the Phish Alert button above if you think this email is malicious.

Hi Kamala –

We need to put some items on the record with client present.

Thanks! Rick



Rick Cofer Partner Cofer & Connelly, PLLC

Phone: 512-200-3801
Email: rick@
Office: 602 W. 11th Street, Austin, Texas, 78701

[img.newoldstamp.com]

[img.newoldstamp.com] in [img.newoldstamp.com]

From: Date: Monday, July 11, 2022 at 4:23 PM
To:
Subject: RE: Motion for Speedy Trial.
Brought for what reason?
From:
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2022 4:21 PM To: Rick Cofer
10. Nick Colei
Subject: RE: Motion for Speedy Trial.
Sure.
Kamala,
Rick asked me to ask you to order that his client, Kaitlin Armstrong, be brought for the setting on July 20.
I am on vacation next week so I will not be present, but I have included Guillermo Gonzalez and Rickey Jones on this.
Thanks
RSD
From:
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2022 3:42 PM
To:
CAUTION: This email is from OUTSIDE Travis County. Links or attachments may be dangerous. Click the Phish Alert button
above if you think this email is malicious.

Hi Rob –

We're getting our ducks in a row over here. Let me circle back to you on scheduling.

Can you ping Kamala and request defendant to be brought on the $20^{\mbox{th}}$?

Thanks, Rick



Rick Cofer Partner Cofer & Connelly, PLLC

[img.newoldstamp.com] in [img.newoldstamp.com]

[img.newoldstamp.com]

Date: Monday, July 11, 2022 at 11:31 AM

Subject: Motion for Speedy Trial.

Got your motion, I agree your timeline of 12-14 months is probably pretty realistic. Would you like to set an agreed date on that time frame now? I don't just love the idea of doing a trial when it's 105, but we could do late May or September. Let me know what you think about a date. RSD

This electronic mail message, including any attachments, may be confidential or privileged under applicable law. This email is intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are notified that any use, dissemination, distribution, copying, disclosure or any other action taken in relation to the content of this email including any attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately and permanently delete the original and any copy of this email, including secure destruction of any printouts.

D-1-DC-22-301129

Velva L. Price, District Clerk ED

THE STATE OF TEXAS	§	IN THE 403RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
VS.	§	OF
KAITLIN ARMSTRONG	§	TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS

APPLICATION FOR SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM

To the Judge of the 403rd Judicial District Court of Travis County, Texas:

You will please issue subpoena duces tecum in accordance with the law in the above-styled and numbered cause for the following named witnesses whose testimony is material to the State of Texas:

Custodian of Records for: St. Joseph Medical Center

1401 St. Joseph Parkway Houston, TX 77002

Please provide original or clear copy of all medical/surgical records, including emergency room records, EMS reports, photographs, blood tests, notes, ETOH, drug screening, and documentation regarding treatment and examination, lab tests and lab results, CAT scans, name of person drawing blood from patient, and BAC from admission date and any dates treated or admitted thereafter, including all notes, diagnosis/prognosis and if applicable, autopsy report, in file or otherwise pertaining to:

Patient Name: Kaitlin Armstrong, DOB: 11/21/1987; Date of Admission: On or about 07/02/22

These witnesses are to be and appear and produce original records **instanter**, at the Blackwell-Thurman Criminal Justice Center, 509 West 11th Street, **2nd** Floor, Austin, Texas, in the **403rd Judicial District Court** of Travis County, Texas to give testimony that is material to the State of Texas. I hereby certify, upon application from the District Attorney of Travis County, Texas, that said records are material and relevant and necessary to the above-titled criminal action currently pending in the **403rd Judicial District Court** of Travis County, Texas.

[YOU WILL NOT HAVE TO APPEAR and will be deemed substantial compliance with this subpoena by tendering the above requested records with the attached Business Records Affidavit completed and executed, **before or no later than August 19, 2022** to: Office of the Travis County District Attorney, P.O. Box 1748, Austin, TX 78767, ATTN: CECILEE WALKER].

Rob Drummond
ATTORNEY FOR STATE

SUBPOENA

TO ANY SHERIFF OR PEACE OFFICER OF THE STATE OF TEXAS ----- GREETINGS:

Based upon the above application by the Travis County District Attorney, you are commanded to summon the above-named witnesses to be and appear **instanter**, and produce original records before the **403rd Judicial District Court** of Travis County, Texas, then and there to testify as a witness on behalf of the State in the above-styled and numbered cause, and there to remain from day to day and from term to term, until discharged. Herein fail not, and make due return hereof according to law.

Issued and given under my hand on this the	day of, 20	022.
	DocuSigned by:	
	Judge Brenda kennedy	
	Brenda Kennedy	
	IUDGE 403rd Judicial District Court	ŀ

Compliance with this Subpoena authorizes (at the custodian's option) delivery of copies of the above-described records and a business records affidavit to the person serving the subpoena at the time of service.

D-1-DC-22-301129

THE STATE OF TEXAS		§	IN THE 403rd JUDICIAL DISTRICT	COURT
VS.		§	OF	
KAITLIN ARMSTRONG		§	TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS	
	BUSINESS RECO	RDS A	FFIDAVIT	
	9		uthority, personally o y me duly sworn, deposed as f	
My name is of making this affidavit, a	nd personally acquair	nted w	, I am of sound mind vith the facts herein stated:	, capable
pages of records [Enter: Actual # of pages] records are kept by St. Jose the regular course of representative of St. Jose opinion, or diagnosis, recincluded in such record; thereafter.	from St. Joseph Medicol Center business of St. Joseph Medical Center, corded to make the reand the record was	cal Ce er in th eph I with k ecord made	Medical Center. Attached henter. These the regular course of business, of Medical Center for an employment of the act, event, or to transmit information there at or near the time or reasonate exact duplicates of the origin	and it was ployee or condition, eof to be ably soon
	AFF	FIANT		
SWORN TO AND SU 2022.	BSCRIBED before me	on the	e day of,	A.D.
	Not	tary Pu	ublic, State of Texas	
My commission expires: _				

Patient Name: Kaitlin Armstrong, DOB: 11/21/1987; Date of Admission: On or about 7/02/2022